UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,929 | 05/25/2007 | Grit Roetgering | | 5440 |
| 30621 JENSEN + PUN | 7590 06/18/200 NTIGAM. P.S. | EXAMINER | | |
| 2033 6th Ave, Suite 1020 | | | KWON, PHILIP | |
| SEATTLE, WA 98121 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3634 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/593,929 | ROETGERING, GRIT | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | PHILIP S. KWON | 3634 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 S</u> | eptember 2006 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| /_ | / _ | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-40</u> is/are pending in the application | n. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>20-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a) | (d) or (f) | | | | |
| 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| , , , | a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | алат. фриосион | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/593,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 20-39, drawn to profile bar with 1st and 2nd longitudinal cavities and mutually coupled profiles that together bound the first cavity.

Group 2, claim(s) 40, drawn to profiled bar with 1st and 2nd longitudinal cavities and at least one opening into the first cavity, and a constricted opening in the 2nd cavity.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

At least claim 20 is anticipated by DE 3135790.

Claim 20 requires a profiled bar comprising: a first cavity, a continuous hole, a second cavity, and two mutually coupled profiles which bound the first cavity.

DE 3135790 teaches a profiled bar comprising: a first cavity, continuous holes, a second cavity, and two parts which together bound the first cavity.

Since at least claim 20 is not inventive, there can be no inventive shared technical concept, so there is not a unity of invention.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/593,929 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Category 1: Raising Mechanism

Species 1 - Fig. 2

Species 2 - Fig. 3

Category 2: Profiled Bar

Species 1 - Fig. 4

Species 2 – Fig. 5

Species 3 – Fig. 6A

Applicant must elect only one species from each category.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Applicant to advise.

The following claim(s) are generic: Applicant to advise.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069.

Since at least claim 20 is anticipated by DE 3135790, and is thus not inventive, there can be no inventive shared technical concept, so there is not a unity of invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 270-5230. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be made through either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (BEC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip S Kwon/ Examiner, Art Unit 3634

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634